

Comment and Reply
An alternative Earth, Warren B. Hamilton,
GSA Today, v. 13, no. 11, p. 4–12.

Comment

M.J. Van Kranendonk, *Geological Survey of Western Australia, 100 Plain St., East Perth, Western Australia, 6004 Australia, martin.vankranendonk@doir.wa.gov.au*

Kevin Cassidy, *Geoscience Australia, c/o Geological Survey of Western Australia, 100 Plain St., East Perth, Western Australia, 6004 Australia, kevin.cassidy@doir.wa.gov.au*

doi: 10.1130/1052-5173(2004)014<14:AAEC>2.0.CO;2

Since 1988, Warren Hamilton has crusaded against the widespread acceptance of uniformitarianism in the Archean and raised some valid concerns; most notably that Archean crust shows many significant differences to post-2.0 Ga crust formed in plate subduction-accretion zones (Hamilton *in* Reed et al., 1993; Hamilton, 1998). But his “Alternative Earth” model (Hamilton, 2003), in which he states that “Plate tectonics did not operate within preserved Archean crust,” or “before 2.0 Ga,” and that “Plumes do not exist,” mirrors the 1980s Earth evolution models based on the first description of a ca. 2.0 Ga Wilson cycle in the Wopmay orogen (Hoffman, 1980) and is based on selective criteria that ignores a wealth of compelling multidisciplinary geological evidence in support of Archean plate tectonics (e.g., Friend et al., 1988; Calvert et al., 1995; White et al., 2003) and mantle plumes (e.g., Lawver and Muller, 1994; Wolfe et al., 1997; Bijwaard and Spakman, 1999). Geological processes operative over the 2 G.yr. Archean Era (4.5–2.5 Ga) were diverse (Van Kranendonk, 2004, and references therein) and included impact tectonics (Glikson, 2001), non-uniformitarian tectonics including the *local* partial convective overturn of crust (Collins et al., 1998) and possibly the mantle (Davies, 1995), and plate tectonics that was probably characterized by shallow subduction in its early stages (pre-3.3 Ga: Smithies et al., 2003) and evolved to steeper subduction from ca. 3.1 Ga (Smithies et al., 2004). No one can argue that secular processes have not affected the Earth, even over the past 2 G.yr., and it is the relationship between the range of tectonic processes that has changed over time. Perhaps it is now Hamilton who needs to adopt a broader view.

REFERENCES CITED

- Bijwaard, H., and Spakman, W., 1999, Tomographic evidence for a narrow, whole mantle plume below Iceland: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 166, p. 121–126.
- Calvert, A.J., Sawyer, E.W., Davis, W.J., Ludden, J.N., 1995, Archean subduction inferred from seismic images of a mantle suture in the Superior Province: *Nature*, v. 375, p. 670–674.
- Collins, W.J., Van Kranendonk, M.J., Teyssier, C., 1998, Partial convective overturn of Archean crust in the east Pilbara Craton, Western Australia: Driving mechanisms and tectonic implications: *Journal of Structural Geology*, v. 20, p. 1405–1424.

Davies, G.F., 1995, Punctuated tectonic evolution of the earth: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 36, p. 363–380.

Friend, C.R.L., Nutman, A.P., and McGregor, V.R., 1988, Late Archean terrane accretion in southern West Greenland: *Nature*, v. 335, p. 535–538.

Glikson, A.Y., 2001, The astronomical connection of terrestrial evolution: crustal effects of post-3.8 Ga mega-impact clusters and evidence for major 3.2 ± 0.1 Ga bombardment of the Earth-Moon system: *Journal of Geodynamics*, v. 32, p. 205–229.

Hamilton, W.B., 1998, Archean magmatism and deformation were not products of plate tectonics: *Precambrian Research*, v. 91, p. 143–179.

Hamilton, W.B., 2003, An alternative Earth: *GSA Today*, v. 13, no. 11, p. 4–12.

Hoffman, P.F., 1980, Wopmay Orogen: A Wilson cycle of early Proterozoic age in the northwest of the Canadian Shield, *in* Strangway, D.W., ed., *The continental crust and its mineral deposits: Geological Association of Canada Special Paper 20*, p. 523–552.

Lawver, L.A., and Muller, R.D., 1994, Iceland hotspot track: *Geology*, v. 22, p. 311–314.

Reed, J.C., Jr., Ball, T.J., Farmer, G.L., and Hamilton, W.B., 1993, A broader view, *in* Reed, J.C., Jr., et al., eds., *Precambrian: Conterminous U.S.: Geological Society of America, Geology of North America*, v. C-2, p. 597–636.

Smithies, H.R., Champion, D.C., and Cassidy, K.F., 2003, Formation of Earth's early Archean continental crust: *Precambrian Research*, v. 127, p. 89–101.

Smithies, R.H., Champion, D.C., Sun, S-S., 2004, Evidence for early LREE-enriched mantle source regions: Diverse magmas from the c. 3.0–2.95 Ga Mallina Basin, Pilbara Craton, NW Australia: *Journal of Petrology* (in press).

Van Kranendonk, M.J., 2004, Archean tectonics 2004: A review: *Precambrian Research* (in press).

White, D.J., Musacchio, G., Helmstaedt, H.H., Harrap, R.M., Thurston, P.C., van der Velden, A., Hall, K., 2003, Images of lower crustal oceanic slab: Direct evidence for tectonic accretion in the Archean western Superior province: *Geology*, v. 31, p. 997–1000.

Wolfe, C.J., Bjarnason, I.T., VanDecar, J.C., and Solomon, S.C., 1997, Seismic structure of the Iceland mantle plume: *Nature*, v. 385, p. 245–247.

Reply

Warren B. Hamilton, *Department of Geophysics, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado, 80401, USA*

doi: 10.1130/1052-5173(2004)014<14:AAER>2.0.CO;2

Martin Van Kranendonk (to whom I am indebted for a superb Pilbara field trip) and Kevin Cassidy have much company in arguing that plate tectonics operated during Archean time. Archean plate rationales satisfy uniformitarian assumptions but appeal to processes that left no clear field evidence. No one has demonstrated that trailing-edge stratal sequences were deposited on sundered cratons, which were then rotated and recombined with accretionary and oceanic debris caught in sutures, or that magmatic arcs, thrust belts or crustal thrusts, or other products of plate convergence and collision were developed. When I began looking at Archean geology, I expected to find some semblance (hotter, smaller, faster?) of the products of Phanerozoic plate tectonics with which I had long worked in western North America, Eurasia, and Indonesia, and surrounding regions—but no semblance is there.

Plumes from deep mantle? All tectonic, petrologic, geochemical, and modeling rationales are circular and are only made more complex and ad hoc as their assumptions and predictions are disproved. Tomography? Even advocates Wolfe et al. (2002) claimed to see a plume down only to 400 km, which is not at issue. Bijwaard and Spakman (1999) presented an eye-catching cross section in the only place in a poorly constrained model where continuity, however irregular, could be depicted, saturated it with colors that made small deep “anomalies” look like large upper-mantle ones, and truncated it at both ends to omit “plumes” under flanking cratons. Supposed plume images claimed for finite-frequency tomography also are suspect.

I reaffirm my message. Subtract bad and weak assumptions, add multidisciplinary data, and Earth’s evolution and behavior can be read as startlingly different from the standard model.

REFERENCES CITED

Bijwaard, H., and Spakman, W., 1999, Tomographic evidence for a narrow, whole mantle plume below Iceland: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 166, p. 121–126.

Wolfe, C.J., Bjarnason, I.T., VanDecar, J.C., and Solomon, S.C., 2002, Assessing the depth resolution of tomographic models of upper mantle structure beneath Iceland: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 29, no. 2, paper 2, 4 p.

Online: *GSA Today* Comment and Reply

For another Comment and Reply on this article, visit www.geosociety.org (go to “Publications Services,” then to *GSA Today*) or www.gsjournals.org (go to “Online Journals” then to *GSA Today*).

Posted:

Comment

Andrew Glikson, *Research School of Earth Science, Australian National University, Canberra, A.C.T. 0200, Australia, Andrew.glikson@anu.edu.au*

Reply

Warren B. Hamilton, *Department of Geophysics, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado 80401, USA, whamilto@mines.edu*



The Geological Society of America 2003 OEST Award Recipients Named

The following 2003 Outstanding Earth Science Teacher (OEST) award recipients were announced in December 2003.

SECTION WINNERS

Glenn Dolphin
Shelly F. Snyder
Craig Wolter
Shawn E. Doan
Rita Grusemeyer
Christine Henry
Michael Milburn
Joan Hacken Carter



STATE WINNERS

Bernard Picklo
Deano Smith
Mary Sue Burns
Glenn Dolphin
Kevin Leineweber
Christine Henry
Robert Nicholson
Craig Wolter
Chris Lock
Donna Petty

The OEST Award, recognized by the National Association of Geoscience Teachers (NAGT), is given out to pre-college teachers who have made exceptional contributions to the stimulation of interest in the earth sciences and who are outstanding teachers. Each NAGT section selects a section winner. Sections may also have state winners.

For more information on this award and NAGT, please visit www.nagt.org/awards.html.

This award, administered by GSA, gives section recipients \$500 in travel money to attend a GSA meeting.

Winners can also apply for up to \$500 for classroom supplies. The award also includes a certificate and a complimentary membership in GSA (three years for section recipients and one year for state recipients).

For more information on the administration of these awards, please visit www.geosociety.org/aboutus/awards/oest.htm.